

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection
Air Quality Division

Under the authority of the Environmental Quality Act, R.S. 30:2001 et seq., and in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, R.S. 49:950 et seq., the secretary gives notice that rulemaking procedures have been initiated to amend the Air Quality Division Regulations, LAC 33:III.Chapter 14.Subchapter B (Log #AQ172*).

This proposed rule is identical to a federal regulation found in 40 CFR part 63, subpart A as amended in 62 FR 43802-43818, August 15, 1997, Number 158, which is applicable in Louisiana. For more information regarding the federal requirement, contact the Investigations and Regulation Development Division at the address or phone number given below. No fiscal or economic impact will result from the proposed rule; therefore, the rule will be promulgated in accordance with R.S. 49:953(F)(3) and (4).

The proposed rule repeals the existing state transportation conformity rule with the exception of two sections. The rule incorporates by reference the federal requirements established in 40 CFR part 93, subpart A, that transportation plans, programs, and projects which are developed, funded, or approved under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act conform to state or federal implementation plans. The state is required to submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision to EPA that includes an enforceable transportation conformity rule. Promulgation of this rule will enable the state to submit the required transportation conformity SIP. The basis and rationale for this proposed rule is to mirror the amendments to the federal transportation conformity regulations published on August 15, 1997 in 62 FR 43802-43818.

This proposed rule meets the exceptions listed in R.S. 30:2019 (D) (3) and R.S. 49:953 (G) (3); therefore, no report regarding environmental/health benefits and social/economic costs is required.

A public hearing will be held on June 24, 1998, at 1:30 p.m. in the Maynard Ketcham Building, Room 326, 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA 70810. This hearing will also be for a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) to incorporate this proposed rule. Interested persons are invited to attend and submit oral comments on the proposed amendments. Should individuals with a disability need an accommodation in order to participate, contact Patsy Deaville at the address given below or at (504) 765-0399.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulations. Commentors should reference this proposed regulation by AQ172*. Such comments must be received no later than June 24, 1998, at 4:30 p.m., and should be sent to Patsy Deaville, Investigations and Regulation Development Division, Box 82282, Baton Rouge, LA 70884 or to FAX (504) 765-0486. The comment period for this rule ends on the same date as the public hearing. Copies of this proposed regulation can be purchased at the above referenced address. Contact the Investigations and Regulation Development Division at (504) 765-0399 for pricing information. Check or money order is required in advance for each copy of AQ172*.

This proposed regulation is available for inspection at the following DEQ office locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.: 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Fourth Floor, Baton Rouge, LA 70810; 804 Thirty-first Street, Monroe, LA 71203; State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71101; 3519 Patrick Street, Lake Charles, LA 70605; 3501 Chateau Boulevard, West Wing, Kenner, LA 70065; 100 Asma Boulevard, Suite 151, Lafayette, LA 70508; or on the Internet at <http://www.deq.state.la.us/olae/irdd/olaeregs.htm>.

Gus Von Bodungen
Assistant Secretary

Title 33
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Part III.Air

Chapter 14. Conformity

Subchapter B. Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Developed, Funded, or Approved Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act

§1430. Repeal and Renumbering

LAC 33:III.Chapter 14.Subchapter B is hereby repealed with the exception of LAC 33:III.1431 and 1441 (which is being renumbered to 1434).

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 24:**.

§1432. Incorporation by Reference

40 CFR part 93, subpart A, sections 101-123 found in the *Federal Register*, volume 62, No. 158, August 15, 1997, pages 43802-43818 are hereby incorporated by reference with the exclusion of sections 102(d) and 105.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 24:**.

§1433. Definitions

~~Terms used but not defined in this Subchapter shall have the meaning given them by the CAA, titles 23 and 49 U.S.C., other Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations, or other DOT regulations, in that order of priority:~~

~~*Applicable Implementation Plan*—the portion(s) of the implementation plan or most recent revision thereof which has been approved under section 110, or promulgated under section 110(c), or promulgated or approved according to regulations promulgated under section 301(d) and which implements the relevant requirements of the CAA.~~

~~CAA—the Clean Air Act, as amended.~~

~~*Cause or Contribute to a New Violation (for a Project)*—~~

~~a. to cause or contribute to a new violation of a standard in the area substantially affected by the project or over a region which would otherwise not be in violation of the standard during the future period in question, if the project were not implemented; or~~

~~b. to contribute to a new violation in a manner that would increase the frequency or severity of a new violation of a standard in such area.~~

~~*Control Strategy Implementation Plan Revision*—the applicable implementation plan which contains specific strategies for controlling the emissions of and reducing ambient levels of~~

pollutants in order to satisfy CAA requirements for demonstrations of reasonable further progress and attainment (CAA sections 182(b)(1), 182(c)(2)(A) and (B), 187(a)(7), 189(a)(1)(B), and 189(b)(1)(A); and sections 192(a) and (b), for nitrogen dioxide):

~~Control Strategy Period~~ [with respect to particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and/or ozone precursors (volatile organic compounds and oxides of nitrogen)]—that period of time after EPA approves control strategy implementation plan revisions containing strategies for controlling PM₁₀, NO₂, CO, and/or ozone, as appropriate. This period ends when a state submits and EPA approves a request under section 107(d) of the CAA for redesignation to an attainment area.

~~DOT~~—the United States Department of Transportation.

~~Design Concept~~—the type of facility identified by the project, e.g., freeway, expressway, arterial highway, grade-separated highway, reserved right-of-way rail transit, mixed-traffic rail transit, exclusive busway, etc.

~~Design Scope~~—the design aspects which will affect the proposed facility's impact on regional emissions, usually as they relate to vehicle- or person-carrying capacity and control, e.g., number of lanes or tracks to be constructed or added, length of project, signalization, access control (including approximate number and location of interchanges), preferential treatment for high-occupancy vehicles, etc.

~~EPA~~—the Environmental Protection Agency.

~~FHWA~~—the Federal Highway Administration of DOT.

~~FHWA/FTA Project~~—any highway or transit project which is proposed to receive funding assistance and approval through the federal-aid highway program or the federal mass transit program, or requires Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or Federal Transit Administration (FTA) approval for some aspect of the project, such as connection to an interstate highway or deviation from applicable design standards on the interstate system.

~~FTA~~—the Federal Transit Administration of DOT.

~~Forecast Period~~ (with respect to a transportation plan)—the period covered by the transportation plan according to 23 CFR part 450.

~~Highway Project~~—an undertaking to implement or modify a highway facility or highway-related program. Such an undertaking consists of all required phases necessary for implementation. For analytical purposes, it must be defined sufficiently to:

a. connect logical termini and be of sufficient length to address environmental matters on a broad scope;

b. have independent utility or significance, i.e., be usable and be a reasonable expenditure even if no additional transportation improvements in the area are made; and

c. not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements.

~~Horizon Year~~—a year for which the transportation plan describes the envisioned transportation system according to LAC 33:III.1443.

~~Hot-spot Analysis~~—an estimation of likely future localized CO and PM₁₀ pollutant concentrations and a comparison of those concentrations to the national ambient air quality standards. Pollutant concentrations to be estimated should be based on the total emissions burden which may result from the implementation of a single, specific project summed together with future background concentrations (which can be estimated using the ratio of future to current traffic multiplied by the ratio of future to current emission factors) expected in the area. The total concentration must be estimated and analyzed at appropriate receptor locations in the area substantially affected by the project. Hot-spot analysis assesses impacts on a scale smaller than the entire nonattainment or maintenance area (including,

for example, congested roadway intersections and highways or transit terminals) and uses an air quality dispersion model to determine the effects of emissions on air quality:

~~ISTEA—the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991.~~

~~Incomplete Data Area—any ozone nonattainment area which EPA has classified, in 40 CFR part 81, as an incomplete data area.~~

~~Increase the Frequency or Severity—to cause a location or region to exceed a standard more often or to cause a violation at a greater concentration than previously existed and/or would otherwise exist during the future period in question, if the project were not implemented.~~

~~Maintenance Area—any geographic region of the United States previously designated nonattainment according to the CAA Amendments of 1990 and subsequently redesignated to attainment subject to the requirement to develop a maintenance plan under section 175A of the CAA, as amended.~~

~~Maintenance Period (with respect to a pollutant or pollutant precursor)—that period of time beginning when a state submits and EPA approves a request under section 107(d) of the CAA for redesignation to an attainment area and lasting for 20 years, unless the applicable implementation plan specifies that the maintenance period shall last for more than 20 years.~~

~~Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)—that organization designated as being responsible, together with the state, for conducting the continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning process under 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607. It is the forum for cooperative transportation decision-making.~~

~~Milestone—an emissions level and the date on which it is required to be achieved.~~

~~Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget—that portion of the total allowable emissions defined in a revision to the applicable implementation plan (or in an implementation plan revision which was endorsed by the governor or his or her designee, subject to a public hearing and submitted to EPA, but not yet approved by EPA) for a certain date for the purpose of meeting reasonable further progress milestones or attainment or maintenance demonstrations, for any criteria pollutant or its precursors allocated by the applicable implementation plan to highway and transit vehicles. The applicable implementation plan for an ozone nonattainment area may also designate a motor vehicle emissions budget for oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) for a reasonable further progress milestone year if the applicable implementation plan demonstrates that this NO_x budget will be achieved with measures in the implementation plan (as an implementation plan must do for VOC milestone requirements). The applicable implementation plan for an ozone nonattainment area includes a NO_x budget if NO_x reductions are being substituted for reductions in volatile organic compounds in milestone years required for reasonable further progress.~~

~~NEPA—the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq).~~

~~NEPA Process Completion (for the purposes of this Subchapter, with respect to FHWA or FTA)—the point at which there is a specific action to make a determination that a project is categorically excluded, to make a Finding of No Significant Impact, or to issue a record of decision on a Final Environmental Impact Statement under NEPA.~~

~~National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)—those standards established according to section 109 of the CAA.~~

~~Nonattainment Area—any geographic region of the United States which has been designated as nonattainment under section 107 of the CAA for any pollutant for which a national ambient air quality standard exists.~~

~~Not Classified Area—any carbon monoxide nonattainment area which EPA has not classified as either moderate or serious.~~

~~Phase II of the Interim Period (with respect to a pollutant or pollutant precursor)—that~~

period of time after December 27, 1993, lasting until the earlier of the following:

~~_____ a. submission to EPA of the relevant control strategy implementation plan revisions which have been endorsed by the governor or his or her designee and have been subject to a public hearing; or~~

~~_____ b. the date that the CAA requires relevant control strategy implementation plans to be submitted to EPA, provided EPA has notified the state, MPO, and DOT of the state's failure to submit any such plans. The precise end of Phase II of the interim period is defined in LAC 33:III.1487.~~

~~_____ *Project*—a highway or a transit project.~~

~~_____ *Recipient of Funds Designated Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act*—any agency at any level of state, parish, city, or regional government that routinely receives title 23 U.S.C. or Federal Transit Act funds to construct FHWA/FTA projects, operate FHWA/FTA projects or equipment, purchase equipment, or undertake other services or operations via contracts or agreements. This definition does not include private landowners or developers, or contractors or entities that are only paid for services or products created by their own employees.~~

~~_____ *Regionally Significant Project*—a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals, as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including, at a minimum:—~~

~~_____ a. all principal arterial highways; and~~

~~_____ b. all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.~~

~~_____ *Rural Transport Ozone Nonattainment Area*—an ozone nonattainment area that does not include, and is not adjacent to, any part of a Metropolitan Statistical Area or, where one exists, a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (as defined by the United States Bureau of the Census) and is classified as a rural transport area under section 182(h) of the CAA, as amended.~~

~~_____ *Standard*—a national ambient air quality standard.~~

~~_____ *State DOT*—Louisiana department of transportation.~~

~~_____ *Submarginal Area*—any ozone nonattainment area which EPA has classified as submarginal in 40 CFR part 81.~~

~~_____ *Transit*—mass transportation by bus, rail, or other conveyance which provides general or special service to the public on a regular and continuing basis. It does not include school buses or charter or sightseeing services.~~

~~_____ *Transit Project*—an undertaking to implement or modify a transit facility or transit-related program, purchase transit vehicles or equipment, or provide financial assistance for transit operations. It does not include actions that are solely within the jurisdiction of local transit agencies, such as changes in routes, schedules, or fares. It may consist of several phases. For analytical purposes, it must be defined inclusively enough to:~~

~~_____ a. connect logical termini and be of sufficient length to address environmental matters on a broad scope;~~

~~_____ b. have independent utility or independent significance, i.e., be a reasonable expenditure even if no additional transportation improvements in the area are made; and~~

~~_____ c. not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements.~~

~~_____ *Transitional Area*—any ozone nonattainment area which EPA has classified as transitional in 40 CFR part 81.~~

~~Transitional Period~~ (with respect to a pollutant or pollutant precursor)—that period of time which begins after submission to EPA of the relevant control strategy implementation plan which has been endorsed by the governor (or his or her designee) and has been subject to a public hearing. The transitional period lasts until EPA takes final approval or disapproval action on the control strategy implementation plan submission or finds it to be incomplete. The precise beginning and end of the transitional period is defined in LAC 33:III.1487.

~~Transportation Control Measure (TCM)~~—any measure that is specifically identified and committed to in the applicable implementation plan that is either one of the types listed in section 108 of the CAA, or any other measure for the purpose of reducing emissions or concentrations of air pollutants from transportation sources by reducing vehicle use or changing traffic flow or congestion conditions. Notwithstanding the above, vehicle technology-based, fuel-based, and maintenance-based measures which control the emissions from vehicles under fixed traffic conditions are not TCMs for the purposes of this Subchapter.

~~Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)~~—a staged, multiyear, intermodal program of transportation projects covering a metropolitan planning area which is consistent with the metropolitan transportation plan and developed according to 23 CFR part 450.

~~Transportation Plan (TP)~~—the official intermodal metropolitan transportation plan that is developed through the metropolitan planning process for the metropolitan planning area and developed according to 23 CFR part 450.

~~Transportation Project~~—a highway or a transit project. Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

§1435. Applicability

~~A. Action Applicability~~

~~1. Except as provided for in Subsection C of this Section or LAC 33:III.1497, conformity determinations are required for:~~

~~a. the adoption, acceptance, approval, or support of transportation plans developed according to 23 CFR part 450 or 49 CFR part 613 by an MPO or DOT;~~

~~b. the adoption, acceptance, approval, or support of TIPs developed according to 23 CFR part 450 or 49 CFR part 613 by an MPO or DOT; and~~

~~c. the approval, funding, or implementation of FHWA/FTA projects.~~

~~2. Conformity determinations are not required under this regulation for individual projects which are not FHWA/FTA projects. However, LAC 33:III.1489 applies to such projects if they are regionally significant.~~

~~B. Geographic Applicability~~

~~1. The provisions of this Subchapter shall apply in all nonattainment and maintenance areas for transportation-related criteria pollutants for which the area is designated nonattainment or has a maintenance plan.~~

~~2. The provisions of this Subchapter apply with respect to emissions of the following criteria pollutants: ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM₁₀).~~

~~3. The provisions of this Subchapter apply with respect to emissions of the following precursor pollutants:~~

~~a. volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides in ozone nonattainment areas~~

(unless the EPA regional administrator determines under section 182(f) of the CAA that additional reductions of NO_x would not contribute to attainment);

- ~~_____ b. nitrogen oxides in nitrogen dioxide areas; and~~
- ~~_____ c. volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and PM_{10} in PM_{10} areas if:~~
 - ~~_____ i. during the interim period, the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that transportation-related precursor emissions within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM_{10} nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT; or~~
 - ~~_____ ii. during the transitional, control strategy, and maintenance periods, the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) establishes a budget for such emissions as part of the reasonable further progress, attainment, or maintenance strategy.~~

~~_____ C. Limitations~~

~~_____ 1. Projects subject to this regulation for which the NEPA process and a conformity determination have been completed by FHWA or FTA may proceed toward implementation without further conformity determinations if one of the following major steps has occurred within the past three years:—~~

- ~~_____ a. NEPA process completion;—~~
- ~~_____ b. start of final design;—~~
- ~~_____ c. acquisition of a significant portion of the right-of-way; or~~
- ~~_____ d. approval of the plans, specifications, and estimates.~~

~~_____ [Note: All phases of such projects which were considered in the conformity determination are also included, if those phases were for the purpose of funding, final design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, or any combination of these phases.]~~

- ~~_____ 2. A new conformity determination for the project will be required if:~~
 - ~~_____ a. there is a significant change in project design concept and scope;~~
 - ~~_____ b. a supplemental environmental document for air quality purposes is initiated; or~~
 - ~~_____ c. no major steps to advance the project have occurred within the past three years.~~

~~years.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1437. Priority

~~_____ When assisting or approving any action with air quality-related consequences, FHWA and FTA shall give priority to the implementation of those transportation portions of an applicable implementation plan prepared to attain and maintain the NAAQS. This priority shall be consistent with statutory requirements for allocation of funds among states or other jurisdictions.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1439. Frequency of Conformity Determinations

~~_____ A. Conformity determinations and conformity redeterminations for transportation plans, TIPs, and FHWA/FTA projects must be made according to the requirements of this Section and the applicable implementation plan.~~

~~B. Transportation Plans~~~~1. Each new transportation plan must be found to conform before the transportation plan is approved by the MPO or accepted by DOT.~~~~2. All transportation plan revisions must be found to conform before the transportation plan revisions are approved by the MPO or accepted by DOT, unless the revision merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497. The conformity determination must be based on the transportation plan and the revision taken as a whole.~~~~3. The existing conformity determination will lapse unless conformity of existing transportation plans is redetermined:~~~~a. by May 25, 1995 (unless previously redetermined in accordance with 40 CFR part 51 subpart T); or~~~~b. within 18 months of EPA approval of an implementation plan revision which:~~~~i. establishes or revises a transportation-related emissions budget (as required by CAA sections 175A(a), 182(b)(1), 182(c)(2)(A) and (B), 187(a)(7), 189(a)(1)(B), and 189(b)(1)(A); and sections 192(a) and (b), for nitrogen dioxide); or~~~~ii. adds, deletes, or changes TCMs; and~~~~c. EPA promulgation of an implementation plan which establishes or revises a transportation-related emissions budget or adds, deletes, or changes TCMs.~~~~4. In any case, conformity determinations must be made no less frequently than every three years or the existing conformity determination will lapse.~~~~C. Transportation Improvement Programs~~~~1. A new TIP must be found to conform before the TIP is approved by the MPO or accepted by DOT.~~~~2. A TIP amendment requires a new conformity determination for the entire TIP before the amendment is approved by the MPO or accepted by DOT, unless the amendment merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497.~~~~3. After an MPO adopts a new or revised transportation plan, conformity must be redetermined by the MPO and DOT within six months from the date of adoption of the plan, unless the new or revised plan merely adds or deletes exempt projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497. Otherwise, the existing conformity determination for the TIP will lapse.~~~~4. In any case, conformity determinations must be made no less frequently than every three years or the existing conformity determination will lapse.~~~~D. Projects. FHWA/FTA projects must be found to conform before they are adopted, accepted, approved, or funded. Conformity must be redetermined for any FHWA/FTA project if none of the following major steps has occurred within the past three years:~~~~1. NEPA process completion;~~~~2. start of final design;~~~~3. acquisition of a significant portion of the right-of-way; or~~~~4. approval of the plans, specifications, and estimates. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§144134. Consultation

* * *

[See Prior Text in A-E]

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repromulgated LR 24:***.

§1443. Content of Transportation Plans

~~_____ A. Transportation Plans Adopted After January 1, 1995, in Serious, Severe, or Extreme Ozone Nonattainment Areas and in Serious Carbon Monoxide Nonattainment Areas. The transportation plan must specifically describe the transportation system envisioned for certain future years which shall be called horizon years:~~

~~_____ 1. The agency or organization developing the transportation plan may choose any years to be horizon years, subject to the following restrictions:~~

- ~~_____ a. horizon years may be no more than 10 years apart;~~
- ~~_____ b. the first horizon year may be no more than 10 years from the base year used to validate the transportation demand planning model;~~
- ~~_____ c. if the attainment year is in the time span of the transportation plan, the attainment year must be a horizon year; and~~
- ~~_____ d. the last horizon year must be the last year of the transportation plan's forecast period.~~

~~_____ 2. For these horizon years:~~

- ~~_____ a. the transportation plan shall quantify and document the demographic and employment factors influencing expected transportation demand, including land use forecasts, in accordance with implementation plan provisions and LAC 33:III.1441;~~
- ~~_____ b. the highway and transit system shall be described in terms of the regionally significant additions or modifications to the existing transportation network which the transportation plan envisions to be operational in the horizon years. Additions and modifications to the highway network shall be sufficiently identified to indicate intersections with existing regionally significant facilities and to determine their effect on route options between transportation analysis zones. Each added or modified highway segment shall also be sufficiently identified in terms of its design concept and design scope to allow modeling of travel times under various traffic volumes, consistent with the modeling methods for area-wide transportation analysis in use by the MPO. Transit facilities, equipment, and services envisioned for the future shall be identified in terms of design concept, design scope, and operating policies sufficiently to allow modeling of their transit ridership. The description of additions and modifications to the transportation network shall also be sufficiently specific to show that there is a reasonable relationship between expected land use and the envisioned transportation system; and~~
- ~~_____ c. other future transportation policies, requirements, services, and activities, including intermodal activities, shall be described.~~

~~_____ B. Moderate Areas Reclassified to Serious. Ozone or CO nonattainment areas which are reclassified from moderate to serious must meet the requirements of Subsection A of this Section within two years from the date of reclassification.~~

~~_____ C. Transportation Plans for Other Areas. Transportation plans for other areas must meet the requirements of Subsection A of this Section at least to the extent it has been the previous practice of the MPO to prepare plans which meet those requirements. Otherwise, transportation plans must describe the transportation system envisioned for the future specifically enough to allow determination of conformity according to the criteria and procedures of LAC 33:III.1449-1485.~~

~~D. Savings. The requirements of this Section supplement other requirements of applicable law or regulation governing the format or content of transportation plans.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1445. Relationship of Transportation Plan and TIP Conformity with the NEPA Process~~

~~The degree of specificity required in the transportation plan and the specific travel network assumed for air quality modeling do not preclude the consideration of alternatives in the NEPA process or other project development studies. Should the NEPA process result in a project with design concept and scope significantly different from that in the transportation plan or TIP, the project must meet the criteria in LAC 33:III.1449-1485 for projects not from a TIP before NEPA process completion.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1447. Fiscal Constraints for Transportation Plans and TIPs~~

~~Transportation plans and TIPs must be fiscally constrained consistent with DOT's metropolitan planning regulations at 23 CFR part 450 in order to be found in conformity.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1449. Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects: General~~

~~A. In order to be found to conform, each transportation plan, program, and FHWA/FTA project must satisfy the applicable criteria and procedures in LAC 33:III.1451-1485 as listed in Table 1 in Subsection B of this Section and must comply with all applicable conformity requirements of implementation plans and of court orders for the area which pertain specifically to conformity determination requirements. The criteria for making conformity determinations differ based on the action under review (transportation plans, TIPs, and FHWA/FTA projects), the time period in which the conformity determination is made, and the relevant pollutant.~~

~~B. The following table indicates the criteria and procedures in LAC 33:III.1451-1485 which apply for each action in each time period:~~

Table 1. Conformity Criteria	
Action	Criteria
All Periods	
Transportation Plan	LAC 33:III.1451, 1453, 1455, 1457.B
TIP	LAC 33:III.1451, 1453, 1455, 1457.C

Project (From a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1451, 1453, 1455, 1459, 1461, 1463, 1465
Project (Not from a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1451, 1453, 1455, 1457.D, 1459, 1463, 1465
Phase II of the Interim Period	
Transportation Plan	LAC 33:III.1475, 1481
TIP	LAC 33:III.1477, 1483
Project (From a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1473
Project (Not from a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1473, 1479, 1485
Transitional Period	
Transportation Plan	LAC 33:III.1467, 1475, 1481
TIP	LAC 33:III.1469, 1477, 1483
Project (From a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1473
Project (Not from a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1471, 1473, 1479, 1485
Control Strategy and Maintenance Periods	
Transportation Plan	LAC 33:III.1467
TIP	LAC 33:III.1469
Project (From a conforming plan and TIP)	No additional criteria
Project (Not from a conforming plan and TIP)	LAC 33:III.1471

ENDNOTES:

- §1451 The conformity determination must be based on the latest planning assumptions.
- §1453 The conformity determination must be based on the latest emission estimation model available.
- §1455 The MPO must make the conformity determination according to the consultation procedures of this regulation and the implementation plan revision required by 40 CFR 51.396.
- §1457 The transportation plan, TIP, or FHWA/FTA project which is not from a conforming plan and TIP must provide for the timely implementation of TCMs from the applicable implementation plan.
- §1459 There must be a currently conforming transportation plan and currently conforming TIP at the time of project approval.
- §1461 The project must come from a conforming transportation plan and program.
- §1463 The FHWA/FTA project must not cause or contribute to any new localized CO or PM₁₀ violations or increase the frequency or severity of any existing CO or PM₁₀ violations in CO and PM₁₀ nonattainment and maintenance areas.
- §1465 The FHWA/FTA project must comply with PM₁₀ control measures in the applicable implementation plan.
- §1467 The transportation plan must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission.
- §1469 The TIP must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission.
- §1471 The project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and conforming TIP must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission.
- §1473 The FHWA/FTA project must eliminate or reduce the severity and number of localized CO violations in the area substantially affected by the project (in CO nonattainment areas).
- §1475 The transportation plan must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas.

- ~~§1477 The TIP must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas.~~
- ~~§1479 The project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas.~~
- ~~§1481 The transportation plan must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas.~~
- ~~§1483 The TIP must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas.~~
- ~~§1485 The project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas.~~ Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

§1451. Criteria and Procedures: Latest Planning Assumptions

- ~~A. The conformity determination, with respect to all other applicable criteria in LAC 33:III.1453-1485, must be based upon the most recent planning assumptions in force at the time of the conformity determination. This criterion applies during all periods. The conformity determination must satisfy the requirements of Subsections B-F of this Section.~~
- ~~B. Assumptions must be derived from the estimates of current and future population, employment, travel, and congestion most recently developed by the MPO or other agency authorized to make such estimates and approved by the MPO. The conformity determination must also be based on the latest assumptions about current and future background concentrations.~~
- ~~C. The conformity determination for each transportation plan and TIP must discuss how transit operating policies (including fares and service levels) and assumed transit ridership have changed since the previous conformity determination.~~
- ~~D. The conformity determination must include reasonable assumptions about transit service and increases in transit fares and road and bridge tolls over time.~~
- ~~E. The conformity determination must use the latest existing information regarding the effectiveness of the TCMs which have already been implemented.~~
- ~~F. Key assumptions shall be specified and included in the draft documents and supporting materials used for the interagency and public consultation required by LAC 33:III.1441.~~ Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

§1453. Criteria and Procedures: Latest Emissions Model

- ~~A. The conformity determination must be based on the latest emission estimation model available. This criterion applies during all periods. It is satisfied if the most current version of the motor vehicle emissions model specified by EPA for use in the preparation or revision of implementation plans in that state or area is used for the conformity analysis. Where EMFAC (California's mobile emissions model) is the motor vehicle emissions model used in preparing or revising the applicable implementation plan, new versions must be approved by EPA before they are used in the conformity analysis.~~
- ~~B. EPA shall consult with DOT to establish a grace period following the specification of any new model.~~
 - ~~1. The grace period shall be no less than three months and no more than 24 months after notice of availability is published in the *Federal Register*.~~

~~2. The length of the grace period shall depend on the degree of change in the model and the scope of re-planning likely to be necessary by MPOs in order to assure conformity. If the grace period will be longer than three months, EPA will announce the appropriate grace period in the *Federal Register*.~~

~~C. Conformity analyses for which the emissions analysis was begun during the grace period or before the *Federal Register* notice of availability of the latest emission model may continue to use the previous version of the model for transportation plans and TIPs. The previous model may also be used for projects if the analysis was begun during the grace period or before the *Federal Register* notice of availability, provided no more than three years have passed since the draft environmental document was issued.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

~~§1455. Criteria and Procedures: Consultation~~

~~The MPO must make the conformity determination according to the consultation procedures in this regulation and in the implementation plan revision required by 40 CFR 51.396 and according to the public involvement procedures established by the MPO in compliance with 23 CFR part 450. This criterion applies during all periods. Until the implementation plan revision required by 40 CFR 51.396 is approved by EPA, the conformity determination must be made according to the procedures in LAC 33:III.1441.A.2 and E. Once the implementation plan revision has been approved by EPA, this criterion is satisfied if the conformity determination is made consistent with the implementation plan's consultation requirements.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

~~§1457. Criteria and Procedures: Timely Implementation of TCMs~~

~~A. The transportation plan, TIP, or FHWA/FTA project which is not from a conforming plan and TIP must provide for the timely implementation of TCMs from the applicable implementation plan. This criterion applies during all periods.~~

~~B. For transportation plans, this criterion is satisfied if the following two conditions are met:~~

~~1. the transportation plan, in describing the envisioned future transportation system, provides for the timely completion or implementation of all TCMs in the applicable implementation plan which are eligible for funding under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act, consistent with schedules included in the applicable implementation plan; and~~

~~2. nothing in the transportation plan interferes with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan.~~

~~C. For TIPs, this criterion is satisfied if the following conditions are met:~~

~~1. an examination of the specific steps and funding source(s) needed to fully implement each TCM indicates that TCMs which are eligible for funding under title 23 U.S.C. or the federal Transit Act are on or ahead of the schedule established in the applicable implementation plan or, if such TCMs are behind the schedule established in the applicable implementation plan, the MPO and DOT have determined that past obstacles to implementation of the TCMs have been identified and have been or are being overcome, and that all state and local agencies with influence over approvals or funding for TCMs~~

are giving maximum priority to approval or funding of TCMs over other projects within their control, including projects in locations outside the nonattainment or maintenance area;

2. if federal funding intended for TCMs in the applicable implementation plan has previously been programmed but is reallocated to projects in the TIP other than TCMs (or if there are no other TCMs in the TIP, reallocated to projects in the TIP other than projects which are eligible for federal funding under ISTEA's Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program), and the TCMs are behind the schedule in the implementation plan, then the TIP cannot be found to conform; and

3. nothing in the TIP may interfere with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan.

D. For FHWA/FTA projects which are not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP, this criterion is satisfied if the project does not interfere with the implementation of any TCM in the applicable implementation plan. Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1459. Criteria and Procedures: Currently Conforming Transportation Plan and TIP

During all periods there must be a currently conforming transportation plan and currently conforming TIP at the time of project approval. This criterion is satisfied if the current transportation plan and TIP have been found to conform to the applicable implementation plan by the MPO and DOT according to the criteria and procedures of this Subchapter. Only one conforming transportation plan or TIP may exist in an area at any time; conformity determinations of a previous transportation plan or TIP expire once the current plan or TIP is found to conform by DOT. The conformity determination on a transportation plan or TIP will also lapse if conformity is not determined according to the frequency requirements of LAC 33:III.1439. Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1461. Criteria and Procedures: Projects from a Plan and TIP

A. During all periods the project must come from a conforming transportation plan and TIP. If this criterion is not satisfied, the project must satisfy all criteria in Table 1 for a project not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A project is considered to be from a conforming transportation plan if it meets the requirements of Subsection B of this Section and from a conforming program if it meets the requirements of Subsection C of this Section.

B. A project is considered to be from a conforming transportation plan if one of the following conditions applies:

1. for projects which are required to be identified in the transportation plan in order to satisfy LAC 33:III.1443, the project is specifically included in the conforming transportation plan and the project's design concept and scope have not changed significantly from those which were described in the transportation plan or in a manner which would significantly impact use of the facility; or

2. for projects which are not required to be specifically identified in the transportation plan, the project is identified in the conforming transportation plan or is consistent with the policies and purpose of the transportation plan and will not interfere with other projects specifically included in the transportation plan.

~~C. A project is considered to be from a conforming program if the following conditions are met:~~

- ~~1. the project is included in the conforming TIP and the design concept and scope of the project were adequate at the time of the TIP conformity determination to ascertain its contribution to the TIP's regional emissions and have not changed significantly from those which were described in the TIP or in a manner which would significantly impact use of the facility; and~~
- ~~2. if the TIP describes a project design concept and scope which include project-level emissions mitigation or control measures, written commitments to implement such measures must be obtained from the project sponsor and/or operator as required by LAC 33:III.1496.A in order for the project to be considered from a conforming program. Any change in these mitigation or control measures that would significantly reduce their effectiveness constitutes a change in the design concept and scope of the project.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1463. Criteria and Procedures: Localized CO and PM₁₀ Violations (Hot-spots)~~

~~A. The FHWA/FTA project must not cause or contribute to any new localized CO or PM₁₀ violations or increase the frequency or severity of any existing CO or PM₁₀ violations in CO and PM₁₀ nonattainment and maintenance areas. This criterion applies during all periods. This criterion is satisfied if it is demonstrated that no new local violations will be created and the severity or number of existing violations will not be increased as a result of the project.~~

~~B. The demonstration must be performed according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1441.C.1.a and 1493:~~

~~C. For projects which are not of the type identified by LAC 33:III.1493.A or D, this criterion may be satisfied if consideration of local factors clearly demonstrates that no local violations presently exist and no new local violations will be created as a result of the project. Otherwise, in CO nonattainment and maintenance areas, a quantitative demonstration must be performed according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1493.B.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1465. Criteria and Procedures: Compliance with PM₁₀ Control Measures~~

~~The FHWA/FTA project must comply with PM₁₀ control measures in the applicable implementation plan. This criterion applies during all periods. It is satisfied if control measures (for the purpose of limiting PM₁₀ emissions from the construction activities and/or normal use and operation associated with the project) contained in the applicable implementation plan are included in the final plans, specifications, and estimates for the project.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1467. Criteria and Procedures: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget (Transportation Plan)~~

~~A. The transportation plan must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the~~

applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission). This criterion applies during the transitional period and the control strategy and maintenance periods, except as provided in LAC 33:III.1499. This criterion may be satisfied if the requirements in Subsections B and C of this Section are met:

~~_____ B. A regional emissions analysis shall be performed as follows:~~

~~_____ 1. the regional analysis shall estimate emissions of any of the following pollutants and pollutant precursors for which the area is in nonattainment or maintenance and for which the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) establishes an emissions budget:~~

~~_____ a. VOC as an ozone precursor;~~

~~_____ b. NO_x as an ozone precursor, unless the administrative authority determines that additional reductions of NO_x would not contribute to attainment;~~

~~_____ c. CO;~~

~~_____ d. PM₁₀ (and its precursors VOC and/or NO_x if the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission identifies transportation-related precursor emissions within the nonattainment area as a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem or establishes a budget for such emissions); or~~

~~_____ e. NO_x (in NO₂ nonattainment or maintenance areas);~~

~~_____ 2. the regional emissions analysis shall estimate emissions from the entire transportation system, including all regionally significant projects contained in the transportation plan and all other regionally significant highway and transit projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area in the time frame of the transportation plan;~~

~~_____ 3. the emissions analysis methodology shall meet the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491;~~

~~_____ 4. for areas with a transportation plan that meets the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A, the emissions analysis shall be performed for each horizon year. Emissions in milestone years which are between the horizon years may be determined by interpolation; and~~

~~_____ 5. for areas with a transportation plan that does not meet the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A, the emissions analysis shall be performed for any years in the time span of the transportation plan provided they are not more than 10 years apart and the analysis is performed for the last year of the plan's forecast period. If the attainment year is in the time span of the transportation plan, the emissions analysis must also be performed for the attainment year. Emissions in milestone years which are between these analysis years may be determined by interpolation.~~

~~_____ C. The regional emissions analysis shall demonstrate that, for each of the applicable pollutants or pollutant precursors in Subsection B.1 of this Section, the emissions are less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget as established in the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission as follows:~~

~~_____ 1. if the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission establishes emissions budgets for milestone years, emissions in each milestone year are less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget established for that year;~~

~~_____ 2. for nonattainment areas, emissions in the attainment year are less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget established in the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission for that year;~~

~~_____ 3. for nonattainment areas, emissions in each analysis or horizon year after the attainment year are less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget established by the applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission for the attainment year. If emissions budgets are established for years after the attainment year, emissions in each analysis year or horizon year must be less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget for that year, if any, or the motor vehicle emissions budget for the most recent budget year prior to the analysis year or horizon year; and~~

~~4. for maintenance areas, emissions in each analysis or horizon year are less than or equal to the motor vehicle emissions budget established by the maintenance plan for that year, if any, or the emissions budget for the most recent budget year prior to the analysis or horizon year.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1469. Criteria and Procedures: Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget(s) (TIP)~~

~~A. The TIP must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission). This criterion applies during the transitional period and the control strategy and maintenance periods, except as provided in LAC 33:III.1499. This criterion may be satisfied if the requirements in Subsections B and C of this Section are met.~~

~~B. For areas with a conforming transportation plan that fully meets the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A, this criterion may be satisfied without additional regional emissions analysis if the following two requirements are met:~~

~~1. each program year of the TIP is consistent with the federal funding which may be reasonably expected for that year, and required state/local matching funds and funds for state/local funding-only projects are consistent with the revenue sources expected over the same period; and~~

~~2. the TIP is consistent with the conforming transportation plan such that the regional emissions analysis already performed for the plan applies to the TIP also. This requires a demonstration that:~~

~~a. the TIP contains all projects which must be started in the TIP's time frame in order to achieve the highway and transit system envisioned by the transportation plan in each of its horizon years;~~

~~b. all TIP projects which are regionally significant are part of the specific highway or transit system envisioned in the transportation plan's horizon years; and~~

~~c. the design concept and scope of each regionally significant project in the TIP is not significantly different from that described in the transportation plan; or~~

~~3. if the requirements in Subsection B.1 and 2 of this Section are not met, then:~~

~~a. the TIP may be modified to meet those requirements; or~~

~~b. the transportation plan must be revised, so that the requirements in Subsection B.1 and 2 of this Section are met. Once the revised plan has been found to conform, this criterion is met for the TIP with no additional analysis except a demonstration that the TIP meets the requirements of Subsection B.1 and 2 of this Section.~~

~~C. For areas with a transportation plan that does not meet the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A, a regional emissions analysis must meet all of the following requirements:~~

~~1. the regional emissions analysis shall estimate emissions from the entire transportation system, including all projects contained in the proposed TIP, the transportation plan, and all other regionally significant highway and transit projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area in the time frame of the transportation plan;~~

~~2. the analysis methodology shall meet the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491.C; and~~

~~3. the regional analysis shall satisfy the requirements of LAC 33:III.1467.B.1 and 5 and C.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR

24:**.

~~§1471. Criteria and Procedures:—Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget (Project Not from a Plan and TIP)~~

~~_____ A. The project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and a conforming TIP must be consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission). This criterion applies during the transitional period and the control strategy and maintenance periods, except as provided in LAC 33:III.1499. It is satisfied if emissions from the implementation of the project, when considered with the emissions from the projects in the conforming transportation plan and TIP and all other regionally significant projects expected in the area, do not exceed the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission):~~

~~_____ B. For areas with a conforming transportation plan that meets the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A:~~

~~_____ 1. this criterion may be satisfied without additional regional analysis if the project is included in the conforming transportation plan, even if it is not specifically included in the latest conforming TIP. This requires a demonstration that:~~

~~_____ a. allocating funds to the project will not delay the implementation of projects in the transportation plan or TIP which are necessary to achieve the highway and transit system envisioned by the transportation plan in each of its horizon years;~~

~~_____ b. the project is not regionally significant or is part of the specific highway or transit system envisioned in the transportation plan's horizon years; and~~

~~_____ c. the design concept and scope of the project is not significantly different from that described in the transportation plan; or~~

~~_____ 2. if the requirements in Subsection B.1 of this Section are not met, a regional emissions analysis must be performed as follows:~~

~~_____ a. the analysis methodology shall meet the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491;~~

~~_____ b. the analysis shall estimate emissions from the transportation system, including the proposed project and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area in the time frame of the transportation plan. The analysis must include emissions from all previously approved projects which were not from a transportation plan and TIP; and~~

~~_____ c. the emissions analysis shall meet the requirements of LAC 33:III.1467.B.1 and 4 and C.~~

~~_____ C. For areas with a transportation plan that does not meet the content requirements of LAC 33:III.1443.A, a regional emissions analysis must be performed for the project together with the conforming TIP and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area. This criterion may be satisfied if:~~

~~_____ 1. the analysis methodology meets the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491.C;~~

~~_____ 2. the analysis estimates emissions from the transportation system, including the proposed project, and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area in the time frame of the transportation plan; and~~

~~_____ 3. the regional analysis satisfies the requirements of LAC 33:III.1467.B.1 and 5 and~~

~~C.Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1473. Criteria and Procedures: Localized CO Violations (Hot-spots) in the Interim Period~~

~~A. Each FHWA/FTA project must eliminate or reduce the severity and number of localized CO violations in the area substantially affected by the project (in CO nonattainment areas). This criterion applies during the interim and transitional periods only. This criterion is satisfied with respect to existing localized CO violations if it is demonstrated that existing localized CO violations will be eliminated or reduced in severity and number as a result of the project.~~

~~B. The demonstration must be performed according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1441.C.1.a and 1493.~~

~~C. For projects which are not of the type identified by LAC 33:III.1493.A, this criterion may be satisfied if consideration of local factors clearly demonstrates that existing CO violations will be eliminated or reduced in severity and number. Otherwise, a quantitative demonstration must be performed according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1493.B. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1475. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions in Ozone and CO Areas (Transportation Plan)~~

~~A. A transportation plan must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas. This criterion applies during the interim and transitional periods only, except as otherwise provided in LAC 33:III.1499. It applies to the net effect on emissions of all projects contained in a new or revised transportation plan. This criterion may be satisfied if a regional emissions analysis is performed as described in Subsections B-F of this Section.~~

~~B. Determine the analysis years for which emissions are to be estimated. Analysis years shall be no more than 10 years apart. The first analysis year shall be no later than the first milestone year (1995 in CO nonattainment areas and 1996 in ozone nonattainment areas). Either the second analysis year shall be the attainment year for the area or, if the attainment year is the same as the first analysis year or earlier, the second analysis year shall be at least five years beyond the first analysis year. The last year of the transportation plan's forecast period shall also be an analysis year.~~

~~C. Define the "Baseline" scenario for each of the analysis years to be the future transportation system that would result from current programs, composed of the following (except that projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497 and 1498 need not be explicitly considered):~~

~~1. all in-place regionally significant highway and transit facilities, services, and activities;~~

~~2. all ongoing travel demand management or transportation system management activities; and~~

~~3. completion of all regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, which:~~

~~a. are currently under construction or are undergoing right-of-way acquisition (except for hardship acquisition and protective buying);~~

~~b. come from the first three years of the previously conforming transportation plan and/or TIP; or~~

~~c. have completed the NEPA process.~~

~~[Note: For the first conformity determination on the transportation plan after November 24, 1993, a project may not be included in the Baseline scenario if one of the following major steps has not occurred within the past three years: NEPA process completion; start of final design; acquisition of a significant portion of the right-of-way; or approval of the plans, specifications, and estimates. Such a project must be included in the Action scenario, as described in Subsection D of this Section.]~~

~~D. Define the "Action" scenario for each of the analysis years as the transportation system that~~

would result in that year from the implementation of the proposed transportation plan, TIPs adopted under it, and other expected regionally significant projects in the nonattainment area. It will include the following (except that projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497 and 1498 need not be explicitly considered):

- _____ 1. all facilities, services, and activities in the "Baseline" scenario;
- _____ 2. completion of all TCMs and regionally significant projects (including facilities, services, and activities) specifically identified in the proposed transportation plan which will be operational or in effect in the analysis year, except that regulatory TCMs may not be assumed to begin at a future time unless the regulation is already adopted by the enforcing jurisdiction or the TCM is identified in the applicable implementation plan;
- _____ 3. all travel demand management programs and transportation system management activities known to the MPO, but not included in the applicable implementation plan or utilizing any federal funding or approval, which have been fully adopted and/or funded by the enforcing jurisdiction or sponsoring agency since the last conformity determination on the transportation plan;
- _____ 4. the incremental effects of any travel demand management programs and transportation system management activities known to the MPO, but not included in the applicable implementation plan or utilizing any federal funding or approval, which were adopted and/or funded prior to the date of the last conformity determination on the transportation plan, but which have been modified since then to be more stringent or effective;
- _____ 5. completion of all expected regionally significant highway and transit projects which are not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP; and
- _____ 6. completion of all expected regionally significant non-FHWA/FTA highway and transit projects that have clear funding sources and commitments leading toward their implementation and completion by the analysis year.

_____ E. Estimate the emissions predicted to result in each analysis year from travel on the transportation systems defined by the Baseline and Action scenarios and determine the difference in regional VOC and NO_x emissions (unless the EPA regional administrator determines that additional reductions of NO_x would not contribute to attainment) between the two scenarios for ozone nonattainment areas and the difference in CO emissions between the two scenarios for CO nonattainment areas. The analysis must be performed for each of the analysis years according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491. Emissions in milestone years which are between the analysis years may be determined by interpolation.

_____ F. This criterion is met if the regional VOC and NO_x emissions (for ozone nonattainment areas) and CO emissions (for CO nonattainment areas) predicted in the Action scenario are less than the emissions predicted from the Baseline scenario in each analysis year, and if this can reasonably be expected to be true in the periods between the first milestone year and the analysis years. The regional emissions analysis must show that the Action scenario contributes to a reduction in emissions from the 1990 emissions by any nonzero amount. Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1477. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions in Ozone and CO Areas (TIP)

_____ A. A TIP must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas. This criterion applies during the interim and transitional periods only, except as otherwise provided in LAC 33:III.1499. It applies to the net effect on emissions of all projects contained in a new or revised TIP. This criterion may be satisfied if a regional emissions analysis is performed as described in

Subsections B-F of this Section:

~~B. Determine the analysis years for which emissions are to be estimated. The first analysis year shall be no later than the first milestone year (1995 in CO nonattainment areas and 1996 in ozone nonattainment areas). The analysis years shall be no more than 10 years apart. Either the second analysis year shall be the attainment year for the area or, if the attainment year is the same as the first analysis year or earlier, the second analysis year shall be at least five years beyond the first analysis year. The last year of the transportation plan's forecast period shall also be an analysis year.~~

~~C. Define the "Baseline" scenario as the future transportation system that would result from current programs, composed of the following (except that projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497 and 1498 need not be explicitly considered):~~

- ~~1. all in-place regionally significant highway and transit facilities, services, and activities;~~
- ~~2. all ongoing travel demand management or transportation system management activities; and~~
- ~~3. completion of all regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, which:~~
 - ~~a. are currently under construction or are undergoing right-of-way acquisition (except for hardship acquisition and protective buying);~~
 - ~~b. come from the first three years of the previously conforming TIP; or~~
 - ~~c. have completed the NEPA process.~~

~~[Note: For the first conformity determination on the TIP after November 24, 1993, a project may not be included in the Baseline scenario if one of the following major steps has not occurred within the past three years: NEPA process completion; start of final design; acquisition of a significant portion of the right-of-way; or approval of the plans, specifications, and estimates. Such a project must be included in the "Action" scenario, as described in Subsection D of this Section.]~~

~~D. Define the "Action" scenario as the future transportation system that would result from the implementation of the proposed TIP and other expected regionally significant projects in the nonattainment area in the time frame of the transportation plan. It will include the following (except that projects listed in LAC 33:III.1497 and 1498 need not be explicitly considered):~~

- ~~1. all facilities, services, and activities in the Baseline scenario;~~
- ~~2. completion of all TCMs and regionally significant projects (including facilities, services, and activities) included in the proposed TIP, except that regulatory TCMs may not be assumed to begin at a future time unless the regulation is already adopted by the enforcing jurisdiction or the TCM is contained in the applicable implementation plan;~~
- ~~3. all travel demand management programs and transportation system management activities known to the MPO, but not included in the applicable implementation plan or utilizing any federal funding or approval, which have been fully adopted and/or funded by the enforcing jurisdiction or sponsoring agency since the last conformity determination on the TIP;~~
- ~~4. the incremental effects of any travel demand management programs and transportation system management activities known to the MPO, but not included in the applicable implementation plan or utilizing any federal funding or approval, which were adopted and/or funded prior to the date of the last conformity determination on the TIP, but which have been modified since then to be more stringent or effective;~~
- ~~5. completion of all expected regionally significant highway and transit projects which are not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP; and~~
- ~~6. completion of all expected regionally significant non-FHWA/FTA highway and transit projects that have clear funding sources and commitments leading toward their implementation and completion by the analysis year.~~

~~E. Estimate the emissions predicted to result in each analysis year from travel on the~~

transportation systems defined by the Baseline and Action scenarios, and determine the difference in regional VOC and NO_x emissions (unless the administrative authority determines that additional reductions of NO_x would not contribute to attainment) between the two scenarios for ozone nonattainment areas and the difference in CO emissions between the two scenarios for CO nonattainment areas. The analysis must be performed for each of the analysis years according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491. Emissions in milestone years which are between analysis years may be determined by interpolation.

~~—— F. This criterion is met if the regional VOC and NO_x emissions in ozone nonattainment areas and CO emissions in CO nonattainment areas predicted in the Action scenario are less than the emissions predicted from the Baseline scenario in each analysis year and if this can reasonably be expected to be true in the period between the analysis years. The regional analysis must show that the Action scenario contributes to a reduction in emissions from the 1990 emissions by any nonzero amount.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1479. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions for Ozone and CO Areas (Project Not from a Plan and TIP)~~

~~—— A transportation project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP must contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and CO nonattainment areas. This criterion applies during the interim and transitional periods only, except as otherwise provided in LAC 33:III.1499. This criterion is satisfied if a regional emissions analysis is performed which meets the requirements of LAC 33:III.1475 and which includes the transportation plan and project in the Action scenario. If the project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP is a modification of a project currently in the plan or TIP, the Baseline scenario must include the project with its original design concept and scope, and the Action scenario must include the project with its new design concept and scope.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1481. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions for PM₁₀ and NO₂ Areas (Transportation Plan)~~

~~—— A. A transportation plan must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas. This criterion applies only during the interim and transitional periods. It applies to the net effect on emissions of all projects contained in a new or revised transportation plan. This criterion may be satisfied if the requirements of either Subsection B or C of this Section are met.~~

~~—— B. Demonstrate that implementation of the plan and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment area will contribute to reductions in emissions of PM₁₀ in a PM₁₀ nonattainment area (and of each transportation-related precursor of PM₁₀ in PM₁₀ nonattainment areas if the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that such precursor emissions from within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT) and of NO_x in an NO₂ nonattainment area by performing a regional emissions analysis as follows:~~

~~—— 1. determine the analysis years for which emissions are to be estimated. Analysis years~~

shall be no more than 10 years apart. The first analysis year shall be no later than 1996 (for NO₂ areas) or four years and six months following the date of designation (for PM₁₀ areas). Either the second analysis year shall be the attainment year for the area or, if the attainment year is the same as the first analysis year or earlier, the second analysis year shall be at least five years beyond the first analysis year. The last year of the transportation plan's forecast period shall also be an analysis year;

_____ 2. define, for each of the analysis years, the Baseline scenario, as defined in LAC 33:III.1475.C, and the Action scenario, as defined in LAC 33:III.1475.D;

_____ 3. estimate the emissions predicted to result in each analysis year from travel on the transportation systems defined by the Baseline and Action scenarios and determine the difference between the two scenarios in regional PM₁₀ emissions in a PM₁₀ nonattainment area (and transportation-related precursors of PM₁₀ in PM₁₀ nonattainment areas if the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that such precursor emissions from within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT) and in NO_x emissions in an NO₂ nonattainment area. The analysis must be performed for each of the analysis years according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491. The analysis must address the periods between the analysis years and the periods between 1990, the first milestone year (if any), and the first of the analysis years. Emissions in milestone years which are between the analysis years may be determined by interpolation; and

_____ 4. demonstrate that the regional PM₁₀ emissions and PM₁₀ precursor emissions, where applicable, (for PM₁₀ nonattainment areas) and NO_x emissions (for NO₂ nonattainment areas) predicted in the Action scenario are less than the emissions predicted from the Baseline scenario in each analysis year and that this can reasonably be expected to be true in the periods between the first milestone year (if any) and the analysis years.

_____ C. Demonstrate that, when the projects in the transportation plan and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment area are implemented, the transportation system's total highway and transit emissions of PM₁₀ in a PM₁₀ nonattainment area (and transportation-related precursors of PM₁₀ in PM₁₀ nonattainment areas if the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that such precursor emissions from within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT) and of NO_x in an NO₂ nonattainment area will not be greater than baseline levels by performing a regional emissions analysis as follows:

_____ 1. determine the baseline regional emissions of PM₁₀ and PM₁₀ precursors, where applicable, (for PM₁₀ nonattainment areas) and NO_x (for NO₂ nonattainment areas) from highway and transit sources. Baseline emissions are those estimated to have occurred during calendar year 1990; unless the implementation plan revision required by 40 CFR 51.396 defines the baseline emissions for a PM₁₀ area to be those occurring in a different calendar year for which a baseline emissions inventory was developed for the purpose of developing a control strategy implementation plan;

_____ 2. estimate the emissions of the applicable pollutant(s) from the entire transportation system, including projects in the transportation plan and TIP and all other regionally significant projects in the nonattainment area, according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1491. Emissions shall be estimated for analysis years which are no more than 10 years apart. The first analysis year shall be no later than 1996 (for NO₂ areas) or four years and six months following the date of designation (for PM₁₀ areas). Either the second analysis year shall be the attainment year for the area or, if the attainment year is the same as the first analysis year or earlier, the second analysis year shall be at least five years beyond the first analysis year. The last year of the transportation plan's forecast period shall also be an analysis year; and

_____ 3. demonstrate that, for each analysis year, the emissions estimated in Subsection C.2 of

~~this Section are no greater than baseline emissions of PM₁₀ and PM₁₀ precursors, where applicable, (for PM₁₀ nonattainment areas) or NO_x (for NO₂ nonattainment areas) from highway and transit sources. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1483. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions for PM₁₀ and NO₂ Areas (TIP)~~

~~A. A TIP must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas. This criterion applies only during the interim and transitional periods. It applies to the net effect on emissions of all projects contained in a new or revised TIP. This criterion may be satisfied if the requirements of either Subsection B or C of this Section are met.~~

~~B. Demonstrate that implementation of the plan and TIP and all other regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment area will contribute to reductions in emissions of PM₁₀ in a PM₁₀ nonattainment area (and transportation-related precursors of PM₁₀ in PM₁₀ nonattainment areas if the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that such precursor emissions from within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT) and of NO_x in an NO₂ nonattainment area by performing a regional emissions analysis as follows:~~

- ~~1. determine the analysis years for which emissions are to be estimated, according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1481.B.1;~~
- ~~2. define, for each of the analysis years, the Baseline scenario, as defined in LAC 33:III.1477.C and the Action scenario, as defined in LAC 33:III.1477.D; and~~
- ~~3. estimate the emissions predicted to result in each analysis year from travel on the transportation systems defined by the Baseline and Action scenarios as required by LAC 33:III.1481.B.3 and make the demonstration required by LAC 33:III.1481.B.4.~~

~~C. Demonstrate that, when the projects in the transportation plan, TIP, and all other regionally significant projects expected in the area are implemented, the transportation system's total highway and transit emissions of PM₁₀ in a PM₁₀ nonattainment area (and transportation-related precursors of PM₁₀ in PM₁₀ nonattainment areas if the EPA regional administrator or the administrative authority has made a finding that such precursor emissions from within the nonattainment area are a significant contributor to the PM₁₀ nonattainment problem and has so notified the MPO and DOT) and of NO_x in an NO₂ nonattainment area will not be greater than baseline levels by performing a regional emissions analysis as required by LAC 33:III.1481.C.1-3. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1485. Criteria and Procedures: Interim Period Reductions for PM₁₀ and NO₂ Areas (Project Not from a Plan and TIP)~~

~~A transportation project which is not from a conforming transportation plan and TIP must contribute to emission reductions or must not increase emissions in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas. This criterion applies during the interim and transitional periods only. This criterion is met if a regional emissions analysis is performed which meets the requirements of LAC 33:III.1481 and which includes the transportation plan and project in the Action scenario. If the project which is not from a~~

conforming transportation plan and TIP is a modification of a project currently in the transportation plan or TIP and LAC 33:III.1481.B is used to demonstrate satisfaction of this criterion, the Baseline scenario must include the project with its original design concept and scope and the Action scenario must include the project with its new design concept and scope. Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:***.

§1487. Transition from the Interim Period to the Control Strategy Period

~~_____ A. Areas Which Submit a Control Strategy Implementation Plan Revision After November 24, 1993~~

~~_____ 1. The transportation plan and TIP must be demonstrated to conform according to transitional period criteria and procedures by one year from the date the Clean Air Act requires submission of such control strategy implementation plan revision. Otherwise, the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP will lapse and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made.~~

~~_____ a. The conformity of new transportation plans and TIPs may be demonstrated according to Phase II interim period criteria and procedures for 90 days following submission of the control strategy implementation plan revision, provided the conformity of such transportation plans and TIPs is redetermined according to transitional period criteria and procedures as required in Subsection A.1 of this Section.~~

~~_____ b. Beginning 90 days after submission of the control strategy implementation plan revision, new transportation plans and TIPs shall demonstrate conformity according to transitional period criteria and procedures.~~

~~_____ 2. If EPA disapproves the submitted control strategy implementation plan revision and so notifies the state, MPO, and DOT, which initiates the sanction process under Clean Air Act section 179 or 110(m), the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse 120 days after EPA's disapproval and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made. No new transportation plan, TIP, or project may be found to conform until another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted and conformity is demonstrated according to transitional period criteria and procedures.~~

~~_____ 3. Notwithstanding Subsection A.2 of this Section, if EPA disapproves the submitted control strategy implementation plan revision but determines that the control strategy contained in the revision would have been considered approvable with respect to requirements for emission reductions if all committed measures had been submitted in enforceable form as required by Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(A), the provisions of Subsection A.1 of this Section shall apply for 12 months following the date of disapproval. The conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse 12 months following the date of disapproval unless another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted to EPA and found to be complete.~~

~~_____ B. Areas Which Have Not Submitted a Control Strategy Implementation Plan Revision~~

~~_____ 1. For areas whose Clean Air Act deadline for submission of the control strategy implementation plan revision is after November 24, 1993, and EPA has notified the state, MPO, and DOT of the state's failure to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision, which initiates the sanction process under Clean Air Act section 179 or 110(m):~~

~~_____ a. no new transportation plans or TIPs may be found to conform beginning 120 days after the Clean Air Act deadline; and~~

~~_____ b. the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse one year after the Clean Air Act deadline, and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made.~~

~~2. For areas whose Clean Air Act deadline for submission of the control strategy implementation plan was before November 24, 1993, and EPA has made a finding of failure to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision, which initiates the sanction process under Clean Air Act section 179 or 110(m), the following apply unless the failure has been remedied and acknowledged by a letter from the EPA regional administrator:~~

~~a. no new transportation plans or TIPs may be found to conform beginning March 24, 1994; and~~

~~b. the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse November 25, 1994, and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made.~~

~~C. Areas Which Have Not Submitted a Complete Control Strategy Implementation Plan Revision~~

~~1. For areas where EPA notifies the state, MPO, and DOT after November 24, 1993, that the control strategy implementation plan revision submitted by DEQ is incomplete, which initiates the sanction process under Clean Air Act section 179 or 110(m), the following apply unless the failure has been remedied and acknowledged by a letter from the EPA regional administrator:~~

~~a. no new transportation plans or TIPs may be found to conform beginning 120 days after EPA's incompleteness finding;~~

~~b. the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse one year after the Clean Air Act deadline and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made; and~~

~~c. notwithstanding Subsection C.1.a and b of this Section, if EPA notes in its incompleteness finding that the submittal would have been considered complete with respect to requirements for emission reductions if all committed measures had been submitted in enforceable form as required by Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(A), the provisions of Subsection A.1 of this Section shall apply for a period of 12 months following the date of the incompleteness determination. The conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse 12 months following the date of the incompleteness determination unless another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted to EPA and found to be complete.~~

~~2. For areas where EPA has determined before November 24, 1993, that the control strategy implementation plan revision is incomplete which initiates the sanction process under Clean Air Act section 179 or 110(m), the following apply unless the failure has been remedied and acknowledged by a letter from the EPA regional administrator:~~

~~a. no new transportation plans or TIPs may be found to conform beginning March 24, 1994;~~

~~b. the conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse November 25, 1994, and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made; and~~

~~c. notwithstanding Subsection C.2.a and b of this Section, if EPA notes in its incompleteness finding that the submittal would have been considered complete with respect to requirements for emission reductions if all committed measures had been submitted in enforceable form as required by Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(A), the provisions of Subsection D.1 of this Section shall apply for a period of 12 months following the date of the incompleteness determination. The conformity status of the transportation plan and TIP shall lapse 12 months following the date of the incompleteness determination unless another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted to EPA and found to be complete.~~

~~D. Areas Which Submitted a Control Strategy Implementation Plan Before November 24, 1993~~

~~1. The transportation plan and TIP must be demonstrated to conform according to transitional period criteria and procedures by November 25, 1994. Otherwise, their conformity status will lapse and no new project-level conformity determinations may be made.~~

~~a. The conformity of new transportation plans and TIPs may be demonstrated~~

conformity of such transportation plans and TIPs is redetermined according to transitional period criteria and procedures as required in Subsection D.1 of this Section.

demonstrate conformity according to transitional period criteria and procedures.

2. if EPA has disapproved the most recent control strategy implementation plan

new project-level conformity determinations may be made. No new transportation plans, TIPs, or projects may be found to conform until another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted

3. Notwithstanding Subsection D.2 of this Section, if EPA has disapproved the submitted control strategy implementation plan revision but determines that the control strategy contained in the

all committed measures had been submitted in enforceable form as required by Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(A), the provisions of Subsection D.1 of this Section shall apply for 12 months following

following November 24, 1993, unless another control strategy implementation plan revision is submitted to EPA and found to be complete.

to conform according to transitional period criteria and procedures, the requirements of Subsection E.1 and 2 of this Section must be met.

occupant vehicle capacity (a new general purpose highway on a new location or adding general purpose lanes) may be found to conform, DEQ must be consulted on how the emissions which the existing

LAC 33:III.1475-1485) compare to the motor vehicle emissions budget in the implementation plan submission or the projected motor vehicle emissions budget in the implementation plan under

2. In the event of unresolved disputes on such project-level conformity determinations, DEQ may escalate the issue to the governor consistent with the procedure in LAC 33:III.1441 which

F. Redetermination of Conformity of the Existing Transportation Plan and TIP According to the Transitional Period Criteria and Procedures

according to transitional period criteria and procedures (as required by Subsections A.1 and D.1 of this Section) does not require new emissions analysis and does not have to satisfy the requirements of LAC

a. the control strategy implementation plan revision submitted to EPA uses the MPO's modeling of the existing transportation plan and TIP for its projections of motor vehicle

b. the control strategy implementation plan does not include any transportation projects which are not included in the transportation plan and TIP.

considered a conformity determination for the purposes of LAC 33:III.1439.B.4 or C.4 regarding the maximum intervals between conformity determinations. Conformity must be determined according to all

which did not rely on Subsection F.1 of this Section.

~~G. Ozone Nonattainment Areas~~

~~1. The requirements of Subsection B.1 of this Section apply if a serious or above ozone nonattainment area has not submitted the implementation plan revisions which Clean Air Act section 182(c)(2)(A) and (B) require to be submitted to EPA November 15, 1994, even if the area has submitted the implementation plan revision which Clean Air Act section 182(b)(1) requires to be submitted to EPA November 15, 1993.~~

~~2. The requirements of Subsection B.1 of this Section apply if a moderate ozone nonattainment area which is using photochemical dispersion modeling to demonstrate the "specific annual reductions as necessary to attain" required by Clean Air Act section 182(b)(1) and which has permission from EPA to delay submission of such demonstration until November 15, 1994, does not submit such demonstration by that date. The requirements of Subsection B.1 of this Section apply in this case even if the area has submitted the 15 percent emission reduction demonstration required by Clean Air Act section 182(b)(1).~~

~~3. The requirements of Subsection A of this Section apply when the implementation plan revisions required by Clean Air Act section 182(c)(2)(A) and (B) are submitted.~~

~~H. Nonattainment Areas Which Are Not Required to Demonstrate Reasonable Further Progress and Attainment. If an area listed in LAC 33:III.1499 submits a control strategy implementation plan revision, the requirements of Subsections A and E of this Section apply. Because the areas listed in LAC 33:III.1499 are not required to demonstrate reasonable further progress and attainment and, therefore, have no Clean Air Act deadline, the provisions of Subsection B of this Section do not apply to these areas at any time.~~

~~I. Maintenance Plans. If a control strategy implementation plan revision is not submitted to EPA, but a maintenance plan required by Clean Air Act section 175A is submitted to EPA, the requirements of Subsection A or D of this Section apply, with the maintenance plan submission treated as a "control strategy implementation plan revision" for the purposes of those requirements.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1489. Requirements for Adoption or Approval of Projects by Recipients of Funds Designated Under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act

~~No recipient of federal funds designated under title 23 U.S.C. or the federal Transit Act shall adopt or approve a regionally significant highway or transit project, regardless of funding source, unless there is a currently conforming transportation plan and TIP consistent with the requirements of LAC 33:III.1459 and the requirements of one of the following paragraphs are met:~~

~~1. the project comes from a conforming plan and program consistent with the requirements of LAC 33:III.1461;~~

~~2. the project is included in the regional emissions analysis supporting the currently conforming TIP's conformity determination, even if the project is not strictly included in the TIP for the purposes of MPO project selection or endorsement, and the project's design concept and scope have not changed significantly from those which were included in the regional emissions analysis or in a manner which would significantly impact use of the facility;~~

~~3. during the control strategy or maintenance period, the project is consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget(s) in the applicable implementation plan consistent with the requirements of LAC 33:III.1471;~~

~~4. during Phase II of the interim period, the project contributes to emissions reductions or does~~

~~not increase emissions consistent with the requirements of LAC 33:III.1479 (in ozone and CO nonattainment areas) or LAC 33:III.1485 (in PM₁₀ and NO₂ nonattainment areas); or~~

~~5. during the transitional period, the project satisfies the requirements of both Paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Section.~~Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1491. Procedures for Determining Regional Transportation-related Emissions

~~A. General Requirements~~

~~1. The regional emissions analysis for the transportation plan, TIP, or project not from a conforming plan and TIP shall include all regionally significant projects expected in the nonattainment or maintenance area, including FHWA/FTA projects proposed in the transportation plan and TIP, state, parish and local projects, and all other regionally significant projects which are disclosed to the MPO as required by LAC 33:III.1441. Projects which are not regionally significant are not required to be explicitly modeled, but vehicle miles traveled (VMT) from such projects must be estimated in accordance with reasonable professional practice. The effects of TCMs and similar projects that are not regionally significant may also be estimated in accordance with reasonable professional practice.~~

~~2. The emissions analysis may not include, for emissions reduction credit, any TCMs which have been delayed beyond the scheduled date(s) until such time as implementation has been assured. If the TCM has been partially implemented and it can be demonstrated that it is providing quantifiable emission reduction benefits, the emissions analysis may include that emissions reduction credit.~~

~~3. Emissions reduction credit from projects, programs, or activities which require a regulation in order to be implemented may not be included in the emissions analysis unless the regulation is already adopted by the enforcing jurisdiction. Adopted regulations are required for demand management strategies for reducing emissions which are not specifically identified in the applicable implementation plan and for control programs which are external to the transportation system itself, such as tailpipe or evaporative emission standards, limits on gasoline volatility, inspection and maintenance programs, and oxygenated or reformulated gasoline or diesel fuel. A regulatory program may also be considered to be adopted if an opt-in to a federally enforced program has been approved by EPA, if EPA has promulgated the program (if the control program is a federal responsibility, such as tailpipe standards), or if the Clean Air Act requires the program without need for individual state action and without any discretionary authority for EPA to set its stringency, delay its effective date, or not implement the program.~~

~~4. Notwithstanding Subsection A.3 of this Section, during the transitional period, control measures or programs which are committed to in an implementation plan submission as described in LAC 33:III.1467-1471, but which have not received final EPA action in the form of a finding of incompleteness, approval, or disapproval, may be assumed for emission reduction credit for the purpose of demonstrating that the requirements of LAC 33:III.1467-1471 are satisfied.~~

~~5. A regional emissions analysis for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of LAC 33:III.1475-1479 may account for the programs in Subsection A.4 of this Section, but the same assumptions about these programs shall be used for both the Baseline and Action scenarios.~~

~~B. Serious, Severe, and Extreme Ozone Nonattainment Areas and Serious Carbon Monoxide Areas After January 1, 1995. Estimates of regional transportation-related emissions used to support conformity determinations must be made according to procedures which meet the requirements in~~

Subsection B.1-5 of this Section:

1. A network-based transportation demand model(s) relating travel demand and transportation system performance to land-use patterns, population demographics, employment, transportation infrastructure, and transportation policies must be used to estimate travel within the metropolitan planning area of the nonattainment area. Such a model shall possess the following attributes:
 - a. the modeling methods and the functional relationships used in the model(s) shall, in all respects, be in accordance with acceptable professional practice, and reasonable for purposes of emission estimation;
 - b. the network-based model(s) must be validated against ground counts for a base year that is not more than 10 years prior to the date of the conformity determination. Land use, population, and other inputs must be based on the best available information and appropriate to the validation base year;
 - c. for peak-hour or peak-period traffic assignments, a capacity-sensitive assignment methodology must be used;
 - d. zone-to-zone travel times used to distribute trips between origin and destination pairs must be in reasonable agreement with the travel times which result from the process of assignment of trips to network links. Where use of transit currently is anticipated to be a significant factor in satisfying transportation demand, these times should also be used for modeling mode splits;
 - e. free-flow speeds on network links shall be based on empirical observations;
 - f. peak and off-peak travel demand and travel times must be provided;
 - g. trip distribution and mode choice must be sensitive to pricing, where pricing is a significant factor, if the network model is capable of such determinations and the necessary information is available;
 - h. the model(s) must utilize and document a logical correspondence between the assumed scenario of land development and use and the future transportation system for which emissions are being estimated. Reliance on a formal land-use model is not specifically required but is encouraged;
 - i. a dependence of trip generation on the accessibility of destinations via the transportation system (including pricing) is strongly encouraged but not specifically required, unless the network model is capable of such determinations and the necessary information is available;
 - j. a dependence of regional economic and population growth on the accessibility of destinations via the transportation system is strongly encouraged but not specifically required, unless the network model is capable of such determinations and the necessary information is available; and
 - k. consideration of emissions increases from construction-related congestion is not specifically required.
2. Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) estimates of vehicle miles traveled shall be considered the primary measure of vehicle miles traveled within the portion of the nonattainment or maintenance area and for the functional classes of roadways included in HPMS, for urban areas which are sampled on a separate urban area basis. A factor(s) shall be developed to reconcile and calibrate the network-based model estimates of vehicle miles traveled in the base year of its validation to the HPMS estimates for the same period, and these factors shall be applied to model estimates of future vehicle miles traveled. In this factoring process, consideration will be given to differences in the facility coverage of the HPMS and the modeled network description. Departure from these procedures is permitted with the concurrence of DOT and EPA.
3. Reasonable methods shall be used to estimate nonattainment area vehicle travel on off-network roadways within the urban transportation planning area and on roadways outside the urban transportation planning area.

~~4. Reasonable methods in accordance with good practice must be used to estimate traffic speeds and delays in a manner that is sensitive to the estimated volume of travel on each roadway segment represented in the network model.~~

~~5. Ambient temperatures shall be consistent with those used to establish the emissions budget in the applicable implementation plan. Factors other than temperatures (for example, the fraction of travel in a hot, stabilized engine mode) may be modified after interagency consultation according to LAC 33:III.1441 if the newer estimates incorporate additional or more geographically-specific information or represent a logically-estimated trend in such factors beyond the period considered in the applicable implementation plan.~~

~~C. Areas Which Are Not Serious, Severe, or Extreme Ozone Nonattainment Areas or Serious Carbon Monoxide Areas, or Before January 1, 1995~~

~~1. Procedures which satisfy some or all of the requirements of Subsection B of this Section shall be used in all urbanized areas (which have an MPO) not subject to Subsection B of this Section.~~

~~2. Regional emissions may be estimated by methods which do not explicitly or comprehensively account for the influence of land use and transportation infrastructure on vehicle miles traveled, traffic speeds, and congestion. Such methods must account for VMT growth by extrapolating historical VMT or projecting future VMT by considering growth in population and historical growth trends for vehicle miles travelled per person. These methods must also consider future economic activity, transit alternatives, and transportation system policies.~~

~~D. Projects Not From a Conforming Plan and TIP in Isolated Rural Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas. This Section applies to any nonattainment or maintenance area or any portion thereof which does not have a metropolitan transportation plan or TIP and whose projects are not part of the emissions analysis of any MPO's metropolitan transportation plan or TIP (because the nonattainment or maintenance area or portion thereof does not contain a metropolitan planning area or portion of a metropolitan planning area and is not part of a metropolitan statistical area or consolidated metropolitan statistical area which is or contains a nonattainment or maintenance area):~~

~~1. Conformity demonstrations for projects in these areas may satisfy the requirements of LAC 33:III.1471, 1479, and 1485 with one regional emissions analysis which includes all the regionally significant projects in the nonattainment or maintenance area (or portion thereof).~~

~~2. The requirements of LAC 33:III.1471 shall be satisfied according to the procedures in LAC 33:III.1471.C with references to the "transportation plan" taken to mean the statewide transportation plan.~~

~~3. The requirements of LAC 33:III.1479 and 1485 which reference "transportation plan" or "TIP" shall be taken to mean those projects in the statewide transportation plan or statewide TIP which are in the nonattainment or maintenance area (or portion thereof).~~

~~4. The requirement of LAC 33:III.1489.B shall be satisfied if:~~

~~a. the project is included in the regional emissions analysis which includes all regionally significant highway and transportation projects in the nonattainment or maintenance area (or portion thereof) and supports the most recent conformity determination made according to the requirements of LAC 33:III.1471, 1479, or 1485 (as modified by Subsection D.2 and 3 of this Section) as appropriate for the time period and pollutant; and~~

~~b. the project's design concept and scope have not changed significantly from those which were included in the regional emissions analysis or in a manner which would significantly impact use of the facility.~~

~~E. PM₁₀ from Construction-related Fugitive Dust~~

~~1. For areas in which the implementation plan does not identify construction-related~~

fugitive PM_{10} as a contributor to the nonattainment problem, the fugitive PM_{10} emissions associated with highway and transit project construction are not required to be considered in the regional emissions analysis.

~~2. In PM_{10} nonattainment and maintenance areas with implementation plans which identify construction-related fugitive PM_{10} as a contributor to the nonattainment problem, the regional PM_{10} emissions analysis shall consider construction-related fugitive PM_{10} and shall account for the level of construction activity, the fugitive PM_{10} control measures in the applicable implementation plan, and the dust-producing capacity of the proposed activities. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1493. Procedures for Determining Localized CO and PM_{10} Concentrations (Hot-spot Analysis)~~

~~A. In the following cases, CO hot-spot analyses must be based on the applicable air quality models, data bases, and other requirements specified in 40 CFR part 51 appendix W ("Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised)" (1988), supplement A (1987) and supplement B (1993), EPA publication number 450/2-78-027R), unless, after the interagency consultation process described in LAC 33:III.1441 and with the approval of the EPA regional administrator, these models, data bases, and other requirements are determined to be inappropriate:~~

- ~~1. for projects in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites which are identified in the applicable implementation plan as sites of current violation or possible current violation;~~
- ~~2. for those intersections at Level-of-Service D, E, or F or those that will change to Level-of-Service D, E, or F because of increased traffic volumes related to a new project in the vicinity;~~
- ~~3. for any project involving or affecting any of the intersections which the applicable implementation plan identifies as the top three intersections in the nonattainment or maintenance area based on the highest traffic volumes;~~
- ~~4. for any project involving or affecting any of the intersections which the applicable implementation plan identifies as the top three intersections in the nonattainment or maintenance area based on the worst Level-of-Service; and~~
- ~~5. where use of the "Guideline" models is practicable and reasonable given the potential for violations.~~

~~B. In cases other than those described in Subsection A of this Section, other quantitative methods may be used if they represent reasonable and common professional practice.~~

~~C. CO hot-spot analyses must include the entire project and may be performed only after the major design features which will significantly impact CO concentrations have been identified. The background concentration can be estimated using the ratio of future-to-current traffic multiplied by the ratio of future-to-current emission factors.~~

~~D. PM_{10} hot-spot analysis must be performed for projects which are located at sites at which violations have been verified by monitoring and at sites which have essentially identical vehicle and roadway emission and dispersion characteristics (including sites near one at which a violation has been monitored). The projects which require PM_{10} hot-spot analysis shall be determined through the interagency consultation process required in LAC 33:III.1441. In PM_{10} nonattainment and maintenance areas, new or expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points which increase the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location require hot-spot analysis. DOT may choose to make a categorical conformity determination on bus and rail terminals or transfer points based on appropriate modeling of various terminal sizes, configurations, and activity levels. The requirements of this~~

~~Subsection for quantitative hot-spot analysis will not take effect until EPA releases modeling guidance on this subject and announces in the *Federal Register* that these requirements are in effect.~~

~~——— E. Hot-spot analysis assumptions must be consistent with those in the regional emissions analysis for those inputs which are required for both analyses.~~

~~——— F. PM₁₀ or CO mitigation or control measures shall be assumed in the hot-spot analysis only where there are written commitments from the project sponsor and/or operator to the implementation of such measures, as required by LAC 33:III.1496.A.~~

~~——— G. CO and PM₁₀ hot-spot analyses are not required to consider construction-related activities which cause temporary increases in emissions. Each site which is affected by construction-related activities shall be considered separately, using established "Guideline" methods. Temporary increases are defined as those which occur only during the construction phase and last five years or less at any individual site.~~
~~Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1495. Using the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget in the Applicable Implementation Plan (or Implementation Plan Submission)~~

~~——— A. In interpreting an applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) with respect to its motor vehicle emissions budget(s), the MPO and DOT may not infer additions to the budget(s) that are not explicitly intended by the implementation plan (or submission). Unless the implementation plan explicitly quantifies the amount by which motor vehicle emissions could be higher while still allowing a demonstration of compliance with the milestone, attainment, or maintenance requirement and explicitly states an intent that some or all of this additional amount should be available to the MPO and DOT in the emission budget for conformity purposes, the MPO may not interpret the budget to be higher than the implementation plan's estimate of future emissions. This applies in particular to applicable implementation plans (or submissions) which demonstrate that after implementation of control measures in the implementation plan:~~

~~——— 1. emissions from all sources will be less than the total emissions that would be consistent with a required demonstration of an emissions reduction milestone;~~

~~——— 2. emissions from all sources will result in achieving attainment prior to the attainment deadline and/or ambient concentrations in the attainment deadline year will be lower than needed to demonstrate attainment; or~~

~~——— 3. emissions will be lower than needed to provide for continued maintenance.~~

~~——— B. If an applicable implementation plan submitted before November 24, 1993, demonstrates that emissions from all sources will be less than the total emissions that would be consistent with attainment and quantifies that "safety margin," the state may submit a SIP revision which assigns some or all of this safety margin to highway and transit mobile sources for the purposes of conformity. Such a SIP revision, once it is endorsed by the governor and has been subject to a public hearing, may be used for the purposes of transportation conformity before it is approved by EPA.~~

~~——— C. A conformity demonstration shall not trade emissions among budgets which the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) allocates for different pollutants or precursors or among budgets allocated to motor vehicles and other sources, without a SIP revision or a SIP which establishes mechanisms for such trades.~~

~~——— D. If the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) estimates future emissions by geographic subarea of the nonattainment area, the MPO and DOT are not required to~~

consider this to establish subarea budgets, unless the applicable implementation plan (or implementation plan submission) explicitly indicates an intent to create such subarea budgets for the purposes of conformity.

~~E. If a nonattainment area includes more than one MPO, the SIP may establish motor vehicle emissions budgets for each MPO or else the MPOs must collectively make a conformity determination for the entire nonattainment area. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1496. Enforceability of Design Concept and Scope and Project-level Mitigation and Control Measures

~~A. Prior to determining that a transportation project is in conformity, the MPO, other recipient of funds designated under title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act, FHWA, or FTA must obtain from the project sponsor and/or operator written commitments to implement in the construction of the project and operation of the resulting facility or service any project-level mitigation or control measures which are identified as conditions for NEPA process completion with respect to local PM₁₀ or CO impacts. Before making conformity determinations, written commitments must also be obtained for project-level mitigation or control measures which are conditions for making conformity determinations for a transportation plan or TIP and included in the project design concept and scope which is used in the regional emissions analysis required by LAC 33:III.1467-1471 and 1475-1479 or used in the project-level hot-spot analysis required by LAC 33:III.1463 and 1473.~~

~~B. Project sponsors voluntarily committing to mitigation measures to facilitate positive conformity determinations must comply with the obligations of such commitments.~~

~~C. The MPO, other recipients of funds designated under title 23, U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Act, FHWA, or FTA shall obtain written commitments to mitigation measures prior to a positive conformity determination, and project sponsors shall comply with such commitments.~~

~~D. During the control strategy and maintenance periods, if the MPO or project sponsor believes the mitigation or control measure is no longer necessary for conformity, then:~~

~~1. the project sponsor or operator may be relieved of its obligation to implement the mitigation or control measure if the project sponsor or operator can demonstrate that the requirements of LAC 33:III.1463, 1467, and 1469 are satisfied without the mitigation or control measure, and so notifies the agencies involved in the interagency consultation process required under LAC 33:III.1441; and~~

~~2. the MPO and DOT must confirm that the transportation plan and TIP still satisfy the requirements of LAC 33:III.1467 and 1469, and that the project still satisfies the requirements of LAC 33:III.1463, and, therefore, that the conformity determinations for the transportation plan, TIP, and project are still valid. Repealed.~~

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1497. Exempt Projects

~~Notwithstanding the other requirements of this Subchapter, highway and transit projects of the types listed in Table 2 are exempt from the requirement that a conformity determination be made. Such~~

projects may proceed toward implementation even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A particular action of the type listed in Table 2 is not exempt if the MPO, in consultation with other agencies (see LAC 33:III.1441.C.1.c), the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project), concur that it has potentially adverse emissions impacts for any reason. States and MPOs must ensure that exempt projects do not interfere with TCM implementation.

Table 2. Exempt Projects
Safety
Railroad/highway crossing
Hazard elimination program
Safer non-federal-aid system roads
Shoulder improvements
Increasing sight distance
Safety improvement program
Traffic control devices and operating assistance other than signalization projects
Railroad/highway crossing warning devices
Guardrails, median barriers, crash cushions
Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation
Pavement marking demonstration
Emergency relief (23 U.S.C. 125)
Fencing
Skid treatments
Safety roadside rest areas
Adding medians
Truck climbing lanes outside the urbanized area
Lighting improvements
Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes)
Emergency truck pullovers
Mass Transit
Operating assistance to transit agencies
Purchase of support vehicles

Table 2. Exempt Projects
Rehabilitation of transit vehicles[†]
Purchase of office, shop, and operating equipment for existing facilities
Purchase of operating equipment for vehicles (e.g., radios, fareboxes, lifts, etc.)
Construction or renovation of power, signal, and communications systems
Construction of small passenger shelters and information kiosks
Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures (e.g., rail or bus buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary structures)
Rehabilitation or reconstruction of track structures, track, and track bed in existing rights-of-way
Purchase of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet[†]
Construction of new bus or rail storage/maintenance facilities categorically excluded in 23 CFR part 771
Air Quality
Continuation of ride-sharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels
Bicycle and pedestrian facilities
Other
Specific activities which do not involve or lead directly to construction, such as:
—— Planning and technical studies
—— Grants for training and research programs
—— Planning activities conducted pursuant to titles 23 and 49 U.S.C
—— Federal-aid systems revisions
Engineering to assess social, economic, and environmental effects of the proposed action or alternatives to that action
Noise attenuation

Table 2. Exempt Projects
Advance land acquisitions (23 CFR part 712 or 23 CFR part 771)
Acquisition of scenic easements
Plantings, landscaping, etc.
Sign removal
Directional and informational signs
Transportation enhancement activities (except rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities)
Repair of damage caused by natural disasters, civil unrest, or terrorist acts, except projects involving substantial functional, locational or capacity changes
[†]In PM₁₀ nonattainment or maintenance areas, such projects are exempt only if they are in compliance with control measures in the applicable implementation plan.

Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

§1498. Projects Exempt From Regional Emissions Analyses

~~Notwithstanding the other requirements of this Subchapter, highway and transit projects of the types listed in Table 3 are exempt from regional emissions analysis requirements. The local effects of these projects with respect to CO or PM₁₀ concentrations must be considered to determine if a hot-spot analysis is required prior to making a project-level conformity determination. These projects may then proceed to the project development process even in the absence of a conforming transportation plan and TIP. A particular action of the type listed in Table 3 is not exempt from regional emissions analysis if the MPO in consultation with other agencies (see LAC 33:III.1441.C.1.c), the EPA, and the FHWA (in the case of a highway project) or the FTA (in the case of a transit project) concur that it has potential regional impacts for any reason.~~

Table 3. Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses
Intersection channelization projects
Intersection signalization projects at individual intersections
Interchange reconfiguration projects
Changes in vertical and horizontal alignment
Truck size and weight inspection stations
Bus terminals and transfer points

Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.

~~§1499. Special Provisions for Nonattainment Areas Which Are Not Required to Demonstrate Reasonable Further Progress and Attainment~~

~~A. Application. This Section applies in the following areas:~~

- ~~1. rural transport ozone nonattainment areas;~~
- ~~2. marginal ozone areas;~~
- ~~3. submarginal ozone areas;~~
- ~~4. transitional ozone areas;~~
- ~~5. incomplete data ozone areas;~~
- ~~6. moderate CO areas with a design value of 12.7 ppm or less; and~~
- ~~7. not classified CO areas.~~

~~B. Default Conformity Procedures. The criteria and procedures in LAC 33:III.1475-1479 will remain in effect throughout the control strategy period for transportation plans, TIPs, and projects (not from a conforming plan and TIP) in lieu of the procedures in LAC 33:III.1467-1471, except as otherwise provided in Subsection C of this Section.~~

~~C. Optional Conformity Procedures. The DEQ or MPO may voluntarily develop an attainment demonstration and corresponding motor vehicle emissions budget like those required in areas with higher nonattainment classifications. In this case, the DEQ must submit an implementation plan revision which contains that budget and attainment demonstration. Once EPA has approved this implementation plan revision, the procedures in LAC 33:III.1467-1471 apply in lieu of the procedures in LAC 33:III.1475-1479.~~
Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:1278 (November 1994), repealed LR 24:**.